ISSN(Online) : 2319-8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347-6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

A Study of Bulldozers in Reliability Analysis

A. Ramesh Kumar[1], V. Krishnan[2]

Head, Assistant Professor, PG& Research Department of Mathematics, Srimad Andavan Arts and Science College, (Autonomous), TV. Kovil, Trichy, India

Assistant Professor, PG & Research Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous), Trichy, India

ABSTRACT: This paper focuses on a case study to estimate the reliabilities of two competing machines, when the only available data is Time To Failure. The Weibull Parameters are calculated using Microsoft Excel 2010. The results show that after knowing the reliabilities of both the Bulldozers at different lengths of time, we can ascertain which of them is preferable to use at which time period. Study of reliabilities of machinery used in any kind of production is of utmost necessity for optim um use of man power and resources to make the process cost effective and with minimum downtime. This is applicable for all large and small industries alike. But in small industries data is not accurately stored and it becomes difficult to estimate product reliabilities.

KEYWORDS : Reliability, Shape Parameter, Time To Failure, Weibull Distribution.

I. INTRODUCTION

Product Reliabilities have always been of utmost interest in any industry. But when it comes to obtaining the reliabilities of two or more products used in the same industry for the very same purpose, its impact can be viewed on both the manpower management and economic aspect of the firm. This paper addresses the reliability of Bulldozers which come for Survey off Grounding at a workshop in Eastern India. Bulldozers are the one of the most widely used mining machines used to move large quantity of materials. Reliability analysis helps us to ascertain maintenance intervals [1], and with correct decision making, maybe even increase the length of the intervals and thus decrease maintenance costs. This has fueled many studies to be performed in the field of reliability analysis of mining equipment [2-7]. In the inspiring work of Barabady, J; 2005 [7], the Time between Failures (TBF) data was used and it was possible to estimate the failure patterns and hence decision making regarding timed and economic scheduling of maintenance activities. Again in [1] the authors continued their work beautifully to include the TBF and Time to Repair (TTR) data to perform an elaborate case study and hence calculate the reliability and availability characteristics. However in an industry or firm where data are not systematically stored but only some raw uncensored data like overall Time To Failure (TTF) is available it is much easier to go for a simple method to calculate reliability of the competing machines(in this case, two Bulldozers- Type-I and Type-II). The main objectives of this paper are,

To calculate the Weibull Parameters-Shape Parameter β, Characteristic Life α; and interpret the results with the corresponding Bathtub Curves. Thus a complete Weibull analysis.

To estimate the reliabilities of the Type-I and Type-II Bulldozers and compare these at the end of different time intervals.

1. Approach and Methodology

The formula for Reliability assuming a Two Parameter Weibull Distribution is

$$R(x)= e^{-\left(\frac{X}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta}}$$

where β is the Shape Parameter, α is the Characteristic Life and x is the Time to Failure The most important process is the analysis and computation of collected data. We calculate the Median Rank and the transformed median

ISSN(Online) : 2319-8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347-6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

rank and so on. By performing a simple linear regression we can obtain parameter estimates that will help us to infer the reliabilities of the concerned machinery; and thus are able to compare them.

II. CASE STUDY

We calculate and compare the reliabilities after different time intervals of the two main types of Bulldozers arriving at the workshop. The Bulldozers, Type-I & Type-II are used in various mine fields for movement of large quantities of materials. The Bulldozers that have been considered in this paper have been previously been remade (repaired) at the workshop before being sent to the mine field. Since not much systematized and well stored data was to be found, the TTF of 15 Bulldozers, from the 2nd quarter of 2016, each of Type-I and Type-II are considered, each having the same characteristics- a) Workshop remade and b) not usable any longer .Similar considerations have been applied and similar characteristics have been considered while treating the data of Dumpers as well. The beauty of this wonderfully detailed method by William M. Dorner [8], is that it is very simple and easy to compute, and most importantly it gives a fair idea of the product reliabilities at the end.

III. DATA COLLECTION

The different failure data of Bulldozers were collected for a period of three months (April, May and June) and ten of them have been considered amongst the ones which were Workshop remade and brought for Survey off Grounding, to maintain uniformity and soundness in this study.

Table-1 TTF of Type-I and Type-II Bulldozers

Serial No.	Type-I No. of Hours Run	Type-II No. of Hours Run
1	785	1890
2	1076	1127
3	2190	968
4	21564	15534
5	21120	11895
6	20400	9002
7	18925	8035
8	9575	7975
9	18900	6986
10	7294	5685
11	5030	2240
12	3330	3893
13	464	10887
14	6110	11402
15	18925	4487

IV. DATA ANALYSIS

4.1. DATA PREPARATION AND COMPUTATION

Two basic steps have been performed

- We have prepared a different table for each of CAT and KOM Bulldozers, and arranged the data in ascending order, ranking them in the process.

- The median ranks are then approximated using Bernard's Approximation

$$F(t)=\frac{i-0.3}{n+0.4}$$

ISSN(Online) : 2319-8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347-6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

Where *'i'* is the corresponding rank of the data and *'n'* is the total number of samples. Some corresponding values which are calculated using the median rank are tabulated alongside.

Table-2 Type-I

Type-I No. Of Hours Run	Rank	Median Rank	1/(1-Median Rank)	ln(ln(1/(1-Median Rank)))	ln(No. Of Hours Run)
464	1	0.045	1.048	-3.068	6.140
785	2	0.110	1.124	-2.146	6.666
1076	3	0.175	1.213	-1.646	6.981
2190	4	0.240	1.316	-1.292	7.692
3330	5	0.305	1.439	-1.010	8.111
5030	6	0.370	1.588	-0.772	8.523
6110	7	0.435	1.770	-0.560	8.718
7294	8	0.500	2.000	-0.367	8.895
9575	9	0.565	2.299	-0.184	9.167
18900	10	0.630	2.702	-0.006	9.847
18925	11	0.695	3.277	0.171	9.848
20400	12	0.760	4.162	0.355	9.923
20811	13	0.825	5.704	0.555	9.943
21120	14	0.890	9.059	0.790	9.958
21564	15	0.955	22.000	1.129	9.979

Table-3 Type-II

Type-2No. Of Hours Run	Rank	Median Rank	1/(1-Median Rank)	ln(ln(1/(1-Median Rank)))	ln(No. Of Hours Run)
968	1	0.045	1.048	-3.068	6.875
1127	2	0.110	1.124	-2.146	7.027
1890	3	0.175	1.213	-1.646	7.544
2240	4	0.240	1.316	-1.292	7.714
3893	5	0.305	1.439	-1.010	8.267
4487	6	0.370	1.588	-0.772	8.409
5685	7	0.435	1.770	-0.560	8.646
6986	8	0.500	2.000	-0.367	8.852
7975	9	0.565	2.299	-0.184	8.984
8035	10	0.630	2.702	-0.006	8.992
9002	11	0.695	3.277	0.171	9.105
10887	12	0.760	4.162	0.355	9.295
11402	13	0.825	5.704	0.555	9.342
11895	14	0.890	9.059	0.790	9.384
15534	15	0.955	22.000	1.129	9.651

ISSN(Online) : 2319-8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347-6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

4.2 Estimating the Weibull Parameters and Fitting a line to the data

As previously stated we will be using a Two-Parameter Weibull Distribution to calculate the required reliabilities. Using the Regression function in Microsoft Excel software, the values are examined and the Weibull Parameters are estimated. We also plot a graph between ln(No. Of Hours) versus Transformed Median for both sets of data and the slope of the graph directly gives us the Shape Parameter.

Table-3

SUMMARY OUTPUT	**TYPE 1**							
Regression Statistics								
Multiple R	0.973							
R Square	0.947							
Adjusted R Square	0.943							
Standard Error	0.274							
Observations	15							
ANOVA								
	df	*SS*	*MS*	*F*	*Significance F*			
Regression	1	17.555	17.555	233.278	1.10451E-09			
Residual	13	0.978	0.075					
Total	14	18.533						
	Coefficients	***Standard Error***	***t Stat***	***P-value***	***Lower 95%***	***Upper 95%***	***Lower 95.0%***	***Upper 95.0%***
Intercept	-7.942	0.490	-16.208	5.29E-10	-9.000	-6.883	-9.000	-6.883
ln(No. Of Hours Run)	0.852	0.056	15.273	1.1E-09	0.731	0.972	0.731	0.972
β =	0.85							
α =	11189.57							



Fig.1 Fitting a line to the data of Type-I

ISSN(Online) : 2319-8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347-6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

Table-4

SUMMARY OUTPUT	TYPE-2							
Regression Statistics								
Multiple R	0.982							
R Square	0.964							
Adjusted R Square	0.962							
Standard Error	0.226							
Observations	15							
ANOVA								
	df	***SS***	***MS***	***F***	***Significance F***			
Regression	1	17.872	17.872					
Residual	13	0.661	0.051	351.231	8.6125E-11			
Total	14	18.533						
	Coefficients	*Standard Error*	*t Stat*	*P-value*	*Lower 95%*	*Upper 95%*	*Lower 95.0%*	*Upper 95.0%*
Intercept	-11.515	0.589	-19.561	5.02505E-11	-12.787	-10.243	-12.787	-10.243
ln(No. Of Hours Run)	1.286	0.069	18.741	8.61251E-11	1.137	1.434	1.137	1.434
β =	1.29							
α =	7758.802							



Fig.2 Fitting a line to the data of Type-II

ISSN(Online) : 2319-8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347-6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016



Fig. 3 Graph for Failure Rate vs Time for Type-I Bulldozer(Infant Mortality Rate)



Fig. 4 Graph for Failure rate vs Time for Type-II

We have computed β(Shape Parameter) and α(Characteristic Life) for both sets of data. The corresponding failure rates are also plotted against time.

4.3 Results and Discussion

The reliabilities of the Bulldozers and Dumpers are calculated and a graph is plotted between them to give us a comparative overview among each of their types.

ISSN(Online) : 2319-8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347-6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

Table-6

Reliability Comparisons		
No.of hours	**Type-1**	**Type-2**
0	**1**	**1**
1000	0.88	0.93
2000	0.79	0.84
3000	0.72	0.74
4000	0.65	0.65
5000	0.60	0.56
6000	0.55	0.48
7000	0.51	0.41
8000	0.47	0.35
9000	0.43	0.29
10000	0.40	0.25
11000	0.37	0.20
12000	0.34	0.17
13000	0.32	0.14
14000	0.29	0.11
15000	0.27	0.09
16000	0.25	0.07
17000	0.24	0.06
18000	0.22	0.05
19000	0.20	0.04
20000	0.19	0.03
21000	0.18	0.02
22000	0.17	0.02
23000	0.16	0.01
24000	0.15	0.01
25000	0.14	0.01
26000	0.13	0.00
27000	0.12	0.00
28000	0.11	0.00
29000	0.10	0.00
30000	0.09	0.00



Fig. 5 Graph showing the reliability comparisons of Bulldozers

ISSN(Online) : 2319-8753
ISSN (Print) : 2347-6710

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016

We have calculated the values of Shape Parameter (β) and Characteristic Life (α) for both the Type-I and Type-II Bulldozers. β< 1 gives us a fair idea that most of the products in question has a decreasing failure rate. It is safe to say that the Type-I Bulldozers fail during its 'Burn-In' period.

β> 1 indicates failure rate increases as time passes .

α = 11189.57 indicates that about 37% of the Type-I Bulldozers will survive at least 11189.57hours.

α = 7758.802 indicates that about 37% of the Type-II Bulldozers will survive at least 7758.802hours.

The results shown in Table-6 as well as in Fig. 5 give us a clear of the reliabilities of the two types of Bulldozers. At less than 4000 hours Type-II Bulldozers have a greater reliability but after 4000 hours it is quite clear that the Type-I Bulldozers have a greater reliability.

V. CONCLUSION

We can observe that even when there is very little data to work with, we can still get a measure of the reliability of any equipment This case study shows that between the Type-I and Type-II Bulldozers, the former one is to be preferred when usage is more than 4000 hours, while the later one is to be preferred when expected usage is less than 4000 hours. We can definitively conclude that equipment reliability can be properly stated only with respect to its time of usage. This will help us decrease the number of breakdowns significantly. It is often seen that that the Time To Failure data that fits a Weibull Distribution also fits a lognormal distribution . Thus there is further scope of using a Lognormal Distribution in reliability analysis and comparing it with Weibull Distribution based on this type of data. Further we can also go for a availability and maintainability analysis.

REFERENCES

[1] Barabady J. ,and Kumar. U. ,"Reliability analysis of mining equipment: A case study of a crushing plant at Jajaram Bauxite Mine in Iran", Reliability Engineering and System Safety Vol.no .93, pp 647–653, 2008.
[2] Kumar U.,and Klefsjo¨B.," Granholm S. Reliability investigation for a fleet of load-haul-dump machines in a Swedish mine". ReliabEng System Saf,Vol.no.26(4), pp 341-361,1989.
[3] U. Kumar, B. Klefsjo¨., "Reliability analysis of hydraulic system of LHD machine using the power low process model". ReliabEng. Syst. Saf. Vol.no.35, pp 217–224 ,1992.
[4] Samanta B., Sarkar B, and Mukherjee SK., "Reliability modeling and performance analyses of an LHD system in mining". J S AfrInst Mining Metall,vol.no.104(1), pp 1–8, 2004.
[5] Mandal SK.," Evaluation of reliability index of long wall equipment systems for production contingency". Min Technol, Vol.no. 78(897), pp138–40, 1996.
[6] Kumar U., Huang Y., "Reliability analysis of a mine production system a case study", Proceedings of the annual reliability and maintainability symposium, USA, pp167–72, 1993.
[7] Barabady J.," Reliability and maintainability analysis of crushing plants in Jajarm Bauxite Mine of Iran. In", Proceedings of the annual reliability and maintainability symposium, USA pp 109–115, 2005.
[8] Samanta, B., "Reliability Analysis of Shovel Machines Used in an Open Cast Coal Mine." Mineral Resources Engineering, Vol. 10, No. 2 , pp 219-231,2001.
[9] Dorner, William W.," Using Microsoft Excel for Weibull Analysis". Quality Digest Magazine, Best Access Systems, Indianapolis, USA, 1999.
[10] Ebeling, C.E.," An introduction to reliability and maintainability engineering". New York, McGraw-Hill, 1997.
[11] Rinne H., "The Weibull Distribution A Handbook", Taylor & Francis, 2009.
[12] Semrád, K., Zahradníček, R, "Using MS Excel for evaluation of component survival probability",In. ICMT´11,International Conference on Military Technologies , pp 551-556, 2011.